

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE Han-Chung Lai 3305 12952-US-PA 10/709,306 04/28/2004 **EXAMINER** 31561 7590 01/18/2006 JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE CHIEN, LUCY P 7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100 PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEI, 100 2871 **TAIWAN** DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/709,306	LAI, HAN-CHUNG	(any
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lucy P. Chien	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	·-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUIS 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) May be application to become	NICATION. Ta reply be timely filed HONTHS from the mailing date of this communes ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final.	·	its is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 April 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	⊠ accepted or b) obding of the drawing of the dra	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received ir rity documents have be u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1,2,6,8,12,13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admission and of Song et al (US 6215541) in view of Baek et al (US 6524876).

Regarding Claim 1,6,

The admission (Figure 1) discloses a scan line (110) disposed over a substrate 10), a redundant scan line (120) disposed over the scan line (110), a dielectric layer (130), disposed between the scan line (110) and the redundant scan line (120), wherein contact holes (132) are formed in the dielectric layer (130) wherein the scan line (110) is electrically connected with the redundant scan line (120) through at least one or more first contact holes that expose a portion of the scan line, a data line (40) disposed over the substrate (10) an active component (160) disposed adjacent to an intersection of the scan line (110) and the data line (140) and a pixel electrode (170) electrically connected to the active component (160) wherein the active component is controlled by the scan

Art Unit: 2871

line (110) to write an image signal transmitted by the data line (140) to the pixel electrode (170).

Admission does not disclose more than three first contact holes.

Song et al disclose (Figure 5) three contact holes connecting data line and redundant data line. Song et al does not disclose three contact holes connecting the scan line and redundant scan line. But it would have been obvious to have three contact holes connecting the scan line and redundant scan line to achieve the same objective as having three contact holes connecting data line and redundant data line to provide reliable connection between the lines.

Baek et al discloses (Fig. 19) four contact holes.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time when the invention was made to modify the admission's pixel structure and Song et al's three contact holes to include Baek et al's four contact holes motivated by the desire to increase the reliability of the contacts between pads and drivers. (Song et al, Column 1, Row 47-48)

Regarding Claim 2,8,12,

The admission discloses (Figure 1) a data line (40) disposed over the substrate (10), and a redundant data line, disposed under the data line, wherein the dielectric layer is disposed between the data line and the redundant data line, and the dielectric layer has contact holes wherein the data line is electrically connected with the redundant data line through at least one or more second contact holes that expose a portion of the redundant data line.

The admission does not disclose using more than three contact holes.

Art Unit: 2871

Song et al disclose (Figure 5) three contact holes (74, and the other contact holes next to 74) located to connect the scan line (24) and redundant scan line (84).

Baek et al discloses (Fig. 19) four contact holes.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time when the invention was made to modify the admission's pixel structure and Song et al's three contact holes to include Baek et al's four contact holes motivated by the desire to increase the reliability of the contacts between pads and drivers. (Song et al, Column 1, Row 47-48)

Regarding Claim 13,

In addition to the admission, Song et al, and Baek et al as disclosed above, the admission further discloses (Figure 1) the active component (160) comprising a thin film transistor.

Claim 3-5,7,9-11,14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admission and of Song et al (US 6215541) in view of Baek et al (US 6524876) in view of Kano et al (US 20040017528).

Regarding Claim 3,9,14,

The Admission, Song et al, and Baek et al do not disclose the contact hole having a size in a range of about 20 mu.m.

Kano et al discloses (Page 7 [0120-121]) the size of the contact hole is about 20 mu.m to provide an active matrix display device having asymmetrical reflection properties (Page 1, [0014]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time when the invention was made to modify the admission, Song et al, and Baek et al to include

Art Unit: 2871

Kano et al's contact hole size motivated by the desire to provide an active matrix display device having asymmetrical reflection properties (Kano et al, Page 1, [0014]).

Regarding Claim 4,7,10,15,

In addition to the admission, Song et al, Baek et al and Kano et al as disclosed above, Kano et al further discloses (Page 7 [0120-121]) the contact hole comprises a square (rectangle) hole.

Regarding Claim 5,11,16,

In addition to the admission, Song et al, Baek et al and Kano et al as disclosed above, the admission further discloses (Figure 1, 160) the active component comprises a thin film transistor.

Art Unit: 2871

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lucy P. Chien whose telephone number is 571-272-8579. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (571)272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lucy Chien
Examiner
Art Unit 2871
LC

ANDREW SCHECHTER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6